

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/698,912	GLASSBERG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	N. Bhat	1764	

All Participants:

Status of Application: RCE -1st Action

(1) N. Bhat.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Skaugset.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, 2nd Claim 26 - Restriction

Claims discussed:

26

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

.Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner has suggested restriction, election of composition, allowance of composition, withdrawal of the apparatus claim and issuance of claims 1-25. The apparatus of claim 26 does not further limit the composition and therefore poses a 112, 2nd rejection issues. Applicant's representative authorized cancellation of claim 26, without prejudice by examiner's amendment..